

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,229	07/22/2003		Manuel Marquez-Sanchez	67079	6970
48940	7590	10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
KRAFT / FE	ETF		DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
120 S. LASA SUITE 1600	LLE STRE	EET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				1723	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany		1,229	MARQUEZ-SANCHEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
	<u> </u>	W. Drodge	1723	•				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisit after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  - If the period for reply specified above is less than third  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the on statutory period will apply an eply will, by statute, cause the hs after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 08 August 20	005.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i							
3) Since this application is in conditi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the pra	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	ire: a) accepted or bjection to the drawing(sting the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. Sequing (s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office ac	ty documents have be ity documents have be es of the priority docu national Bureau (PCT F	een received. been received in Applicati ments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	•							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> </ol>	v (PT∩-049)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 1-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the independent claims 1,10,13 and 19, scope of the terminology "more effective for removing the solute from a fluid...relative to an inorganic gel coating that has not been moleculary imprinted" is unclear, since neither types of solute or types of fluid have been recited, neither has any of the filtering parameters such as pressure, temperature, filter pore size, etc.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5-7,9,19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doktycz et al PGPUBS document US2004/0173506 published 09/09/2004.

Doktycz et al disclose an adsorbent (paragraph 46) polymeric filter material comprising fibrous support material with amino groups [as in claim 3] (paragraphs 14,50,97 and 98), adsobent gel coating adhered thereto, such as silica or silane/gel or hydrogel [as in claims 5-7], (paragraph 96). The fibers are also molecularly specialized, i.e. imprinted for the sorbtion, removal and/or sensing of specific biological substances on a molecular scale (paragraphs 6,7,18,19,48,100 and 101).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

For method claims starting with claim 19, see paragraph 18 concerning filtration of various medical, and biomedical solutes from cellular fluids.

Claim language pertaining to method of forming the gel coating on the fibrous support material by templating has been given little patentable weight, since no corresponding structure or method steps are present in the instant claims, the methods of imparting adhering a gel coating material to a fibrous support material having charged groups is deemed to be equivalent.

Claim 2 merely alludes to a solute removal process, so does not define further apparatus structure.

For claim 9, the filter is described without an accompanying housing or enclosure, and as forming a "self-assembly" hence inherently self-supporting, in paragraph 4.

## ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 10-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Independent claims 10 and 13 distinguish over the closest prior art, encompassing Doktycz et al and the Vu et al publication "A facile method to deposit zeolites Y and L onto cellulose fibers" in view of the recited method step of "molecularly imprinting the solute on the inorganic gel coating with the template molecules present during formation of the inorganic gel coating". Although Doktycz et al teach formation of molecular

Application/Control Number: 10/624,229

Art Unit: 1723

imprinting of molecular fibers and a gel coating, imprinting with template molecules is not envisioned. Vu et al and other publications teach formation of a coated fibrous filter by depositing gel or semi-solid material onto a fibrous substrate by processes including heating, pressurizing, and chemical bonding, not however suggesting "molecular imprinting with a template technique.

Claims 4,8 and 21-27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 would distinguish in view of recitation of the fibrous support material comprising cellulose. It is deemed not apparent to combine the cellulose fiber filter of Wei with Doktycz et al, since Wei does not suggest a fibrous filter with fiber having coating that has been molecularly imprinted.

Each of claims 8,21 and 22 would distinguish in view of recitation of molecularly imprinting the membrane gel coating for caffeine or purifying a fluid comprising caffeine.

Each of claims 22,24 and 27 would distinguish in view of recitation of treating a fluid comprising a lipid-containing material.

Claim 25 would distinguish in view of recitation of the treated solute comprising a pesiticide or herbicide.

Claim 26 would distinguish in view of treating a fluid comprising a natural food matrix.

Applicant's arguments filed on August 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that the claims are not indefinite since the

Art Unit: 1723

Specification refers to solutes such as caffeine, cholesterol and dissolved organic compounds and specific fluids including tea, coffee and water. However, it is submitted that such solutes and fluids are quite varied, and it is unclear in most of the claims which of such fluids and solutes are targeted for removal of a given percentage of solute, or improvement in such percentage, and under what separation conditions.

Arguments pertaining to rejection of claims on the merit are moot in view of the newly applied reference.

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can reached at 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JWD** 

September 27, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER